SITE DESCRIPTION

The site is located at 219 1st Ave N, just southwest of Key Arena in the Lower Queen Anne neighborhood. It is zoned NC3-65 and is within the Uptown Urban Center in the Uptown Urban Character Area. The site is just a couple of blocks to the west of the Seattle Center and major city destinations such as the Space Needle, Pacific Science Center, Experience Music Project, Seattle Children’s Theater, McCaw Hall, Fischer Pavilion and Memorial Stadium. Myrtle Edwards Park and Olympic Sculpture Park are both located a few blocks southwest of the project site.

The neighborhood is a mix of office, commercial, mixed use and multifamily uses. The site has views of the Puget Sound to the south and west and the Space Needle to the east.

There are currently two existing 1-story buildings on the site, each comprising of one retail use and 3 apartment units. There is a 3-story apartment building to the north and a 1-story commercial building with a surface parking lot to the south. There is a surface parking lot to the east across 1st Ave N. and a 7-story mixed-use residential building to the west across the alley.

The project site slopes down approximately 5 feet from the northeast to the southwest. There are no environmentally critical areas or other natural features on the site.

SITE AREA: 7,191 SF (119.87' x 59.99')
ZONING: NC3-65
APN: 198920-1260
URBAN VILLAGE: UPTOWN URBAN CENTER
UPTOWN URBAN CHARACTER AREA
FREQUENT TRANSIT: YES
ECA: NO
LEGAL DESCRIPTION: DENNYS D T NORTH SEATTLE ADD
PLAT BLOCK: 27
PLAT LOT: 3

DEVELOPMENT OBJECTIVES

The proposed development will create an urban-infill mixed use building with approximately 45 dwelling units and 1725 square feet of commercial space. Parking is not required, but some parking (5 stalls) will be provided within the building on the ground level. 12 bicycle storage spaces for residents and 2 short term bicycle storage spaces for the commercial use will also be provided.

The structure will follow the topography of the site. Amenity space for the residents will be located on the courtyard level roof above the garage and upper roof-top deck providing outdoor comfort and views of the city.

DEVELOPMENT SUMMARY

SITE AREA 7,191 SF
UNIT COUNT 45
PARKING 5 STALLS

FAR CALCULATION

RESIDENTIAL
LEVEL 1 4,403.25 SF
LEVEL 2 5,038.98 SF
LEVEL 3-6 5,066.51 SF * 4 = 20,266.04 SF
ROOF 523.82 SF
TOTAL RESIDENTIAL 30,232.09 SF
ALLOWABLE RESIDENTIAL FAR 30,561.75 (7,191 * 4.25)
TOTAL COMMERCIAL 1,724.73 SF
ALLOWABLE COMMERCIAL 30,561.75 (7,191 * 4.25)
TOTAL MIXED USE 31,956.82 SF
ALLOWABLE MIXED USE 34,157.25 (7,191 * 4.75)

AMENITY AREA
LEVEL 2 1,113.30 SF
ROOF 1,396.59 SF
TOTAL AMENITY AREA 2,509.89 SF
REQUIRED AMENITY AREA 1,511.60 SF (30,239.09 * 0.05)
SITE DESCRIPTION

The site is located at 219 1st Ave N, just southwest of Key Arena in the Lower Queen Anne neighborhood. It is zoned NC3-65 and is within the Uptown Urban Center in the Uptown Urban Character Area. The site is just a couple of blocks to the west of the Seattle Center and major city destinations such as the Space Needle, Pacific Science Center, Experience Music Project, Seattle Children’s Theater, McCaw Hall, Fischer Pavilion and Memorial Stadium. Myrtle Edwards Park and Olympic Sculpture Park are both located a few blocks southwest of the project site.

The neighborhood is a mix of office, commercial, mixed use and multifamily uses. The site has views of the Puget Sound to the south and west and the Space Needle to the east.

There are currently two existing 1-story buildings on the site, each comprising of one retail use and 3 apartment units. There is a 3-story apartment building to the north and a 1-story commercial building with a surface parking lot to the south. There is a surface parking lot to the east across 1st Ave N. and a 7-story mixed-use residential building to the west across the alley.

The project site slopes down approximately 5 feet from the northeast to the southwest. There are no environmentally critical areas or other natural features on the site.

SITE AREA: 7,191 SF (119.87’ x 59.99’)
ZONING: NC3-65
APN: 198920-1260
URBAN VILLAGE: UPTOWN URBAN CENTER
URBAN VILLAGE CHARACTER AREA
FREQUENT TRANSIT: YES
ECA: NO
LEGAL DESCRIPTION: DENNYS D T NORTH SEATTLE ADD
PLAT BLOCK: 27
PLAT LOT: 3
SITE CONSTRAINTS AND OPPORTUNITIES

The project site has certain opportunities and constraints that can inform the design of the proposed building.

- 1st Ave N. has a consistent street wall along the property line and is an arterial street that generates a fair amount of pedestrian traffic. (see photo 1, 2 and 20 on page 5)
- The mixed-use building across the alley has an L-shaped massing with a south facing courtyard. (see photo 6 on page 5)
- The adjacent apartment building to the north, the 3-story apartment building (third parcel) to the south and the Pottery Northwest building across the street, all have the ground floor defined by material treatment. (see streetscapes on page 8 & 9)
- The adjacent apartment building to the north is located approximately 4 feet from the property line with windows facing the project site. (see photos 1, 12, 13, 19 and 21 on page 5)
The project site has certain opportunities and constraints that can inform the design of the proposed building.

- 1st Ave N. has a consistent street wall along the property line and is an arterial street that generates a fair amount of pedestrian traffic. (see photo 1, 2 and 20 on page 5)
- The mixed-use building across the alley has an L-shaped massing with a south-facing courtyard. (see photo 6 on page 5)
- The adjacent apartment building to the north, the 3-story apartment building (third parcel) to the south and the Pottery Northwest building across the street, all have the ground floor defined by material treatment. (see streetscapes on page 8 & 9)
- The adjacent apartment building to the north is located approximately 4 feet from the property line with windows facing the project site. (see photos 1, 12, 13, 19 and 21 on page 5)
- Defined and distinct ground floor treatment.
- Transparency at street level with visible entrances; pedestrian-friendly streetfront with wider sidewalks and landscaping.
- Clear articulation of commercial and residential uses.
- Facade treatment using modulation, material, color and texture variation.
DESIGN CUES FROM OTHER PROJECTS

- Defined and distinct ground floor treatment.
- Transparency at street level with visible entrances; Pedestrian friendly streetfront with wider sidewalks and landscaping.
- Clear articulation of commercial and residential uses.
- Facade treatment using modulation, material, color and texture variation.

OTHER MULTIFAMILY PROJECTS IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD

NOTABLE LANDMARKS
STREETSCAPES
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SMC Code Section</th>
<th>SMC Code Description</th>
<th>Compliance/Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23.47A.004</td>
<td>Permitted and Prohibited Uses</td>
<td>Residential and commercial uses are permitted per Table A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.47A.005</td>
<td>Street Level Uses</td>
<td>In all neighborhood commercial and C1 zones, residential uses may occupy, in the aggregate, no more than 20 percent of the street-level street-facing facade in the following circumstances or locations:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.47A.005.C</td>
<td>Residential Uses at Street Level</td>
<td>a. In a pedestrian-designated zone, facing a designated principal pedestrian street.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.47A.008</td>
<td>Street Level Development Standards</td>
<td>Blank segments of the street-facing facade between 2 feet and 8 feet above the sidewalk may not exceed 20 feet in width.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.47A.008.A.2.b</td>
<td></td>
<td>The total of all blank facade segments may not exceed 40 percent of the width of the facade of the structure along the street.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.47A.008.A.2.c</td>
<td></td>
<td>Street-level street-facing facades shall be located within 10 feet of the street lot line, unless wider sidewalks, plazas, or other approved landscaped or open spaces are provided.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.47A.008.A.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>For structures with street level non-residential uses in NC zones, 60% of the street-facing facade between 2 feet and 8 feet above the sidewalk shall be transparent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.47A.008.B.2.a</td>
<td></td>
<td>Transparent areas of facades shall be designed and maintained to allow unobstructed views from the outside into the structure or, in the case of live-work units, into display windows that have a minimum 30-inch depth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.47A.008.B.2.b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.47A.008.B.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.47A.008.B.3.b</td>
<td></td>
<td>Nonresidential uses shall extend an average depth of at least 30 feet and a minimum depth of 15 feet from the street-level street-facing facade. If the combination of the requirements of Sections 23.47A.005 or 23.47A.008 and this depth requirement would result in a requirement that an area greater than 50 percent of the structure’s footprint be dedicated to nonresidential use, the Director may modify the street-facing facade or depth requirements, or both, so that no more than 50 percent of the structure’s footprint is required to be nonresidential.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.47A.012.A</td>
<td>- Structure Height</td>
<td>Height Limit is 65 feet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.47A.012.C.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Open railings, planters, skylights, clerestories, greenhouses, solariums, parapets and firewalls may extend as high as the highest ridge of a pitched roof permitted by subsection 23.47A.012.C or up to 4 feet above the otherwise applicable height limit, whichever is higher.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.47A.012.C.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rooftop features may extend up to 15 feet above the applicable height limit, as long as the combined total coverage of all features gaining additional height does not exceed 20 percent of the roof area, or 25 percent of the roof area if the total includes stair or elevator penthouses or screened mechanical equipment; Stair and elevator penthouses may extend above the applicable height limit up to 16 feet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.47A.013</td>
<td>- Floor Area Ratio (FAR)</td>
<td>Per Table A, maximum FAR allowed in NC zones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.47A.013.H.b</td>
<td></td>
<td>1. Total permitted for a single-purpose structure containing only residential or non-residential use - 4.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.47A.013</td>
<td></td>
<td>2. Total permitted for any single use within a mixed-use structure - 4.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.47A.014</td>
<td>- Setback Requirements</td>
<td>No front, side or rear setback required; 2 feet alley dedication on rear side required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.47A.016</td>
<td>- Landscaping &amp; Screening Standards</td>
<td>Landscaping that achieves a Green Factor score of .30 or greater, pursuant to Section 23.86.019, is required for any lot with development containing more than four new dwelling units.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.47A.016.A.2.a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.47A.016.B.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Street trees are required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.47A.016.D.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>Per Table D, screening is required for garbage cans and garbage dumpsters in NC3 zones.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CODE COMPLIANCE**
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SMC Code Section</th>
<th>SMC Code Description</th>
<th>Compliance/Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23.47A.022 - Light and glare standards</td>
<td>Exterior lighting must be shielded and directed away from adjacent uses.</td>
<td>Compliant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.47A.022.A</td>
<td>Interior lighting in parking garages must be shielded to minimize nighttime glare affecting nearby uses.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.47A.024.A - Amenity Area</td>
<td>Amenity areas are required in an amount equal to 5% of the total gross floor area in residential use, except as otherwise specifically provided in this Chapter 23.47A. Gross floor area, for the purposes of this subsection, excludes areas used for mechanical equipment and accessory parking.</td>
<td>Compliant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.47A.024.B</td>
<td>Required amenity areas shall meet the following standards, as applicable: 1. All residents shall have access to at least one common or private amenity area; 2. Amenity areas shall not be enclosed; 3. Parking areas, vehicular access easements, and driveways do not count as amenity areas, except that a woonerf may provide a maximum of 50 percent of the amenity area if the design of the woonerf is approved through a design review process pursuant to Chapter 23.41; 4. Common amenity areas shall have a minimum horizontal dimension of 10 feet, and no common amenity area shall be less than 250 square feet in size; 5. Private balconies and decks shall have a minimum area of 60 square feet, and no horizontal dimension shall be less than 6 feet. 6. Rooftop areas excluded because they are near minor communication utilities and accessory communication devices, pursuant to Section 23.57.012.C.1.d, do not qualify as amenity areas.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.47A.030 - Required Parking and loading</td>
<td>Off-street parking requirement per 23.54.015. Per Table A and B for required parking For nonresidential uses, except hospitals, in urban centers or the Station Area Overlay District (3) – No requirement. For residential uses within urban centers or within the Station Area Overlay District(1) – No requirement.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.54.015.K - Bicycle Parking</td>
<td>Per Table E (A.6 &amp; D.2), for lots within urban centers - Short term Commercial Parking - 1 per 2000 SF; Long-term parking - 1 per 4 dwelling units.</td>
<td>Compliant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.47A.032.A - Parking location and access</td>
<td>Access to parking shall be from the alley if the lot abuts an alley.</td>
<td>Compliant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.47A.032.A.1.a</td>
<td>For residential uses, when more than five parking spaces are provided, a minimum of 60 percent of the parking spaces shall be striped for medium vehicles. The minimum size for a medium parking space shall also be the maximum size. Forty percent of the parking spaces may be striped for any size, provided that when parking spaces are striped for large vehicles, the minimum required aisle width shall be as shown for medium vehicles.</td>
<td>Compliant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.47A.022 - Light and glare standards</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.47A.022.A</td>
<td>Interior lighting in parking garages must be shielded to minimize nighttime glare affecting nearby uses.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.47A.024.A - Amenity Area</td>
<td>Amenity areas are required in an amount equal to 5% of the total gross floor area in residential use, except as otherwise specifically provided in this Chapter 23.47A. Gross floor area, for the purposes of this subsection, excludes areas used for mechanical equipment and accessory parking.</td>
<td>Compliant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.47A.024.B</td>
<td>Required amenity areas shall meet the following standards, as applicable: 1. All residents shall have access to at least one common or private amenity area; 2. Amenity areas shall not be enclosed; 3. Parking areas, vehicular access easements, and driveways do not count as amenity areas, except that a woonerf may provide a maximum of 50 percent of the amenity area if the design of the woonerf is approved through a design review process pursuant to Chapter 23.41; 4. Common amenity areas shall have a minimum horizontal dimension of 10 feet, and no common amenity area shall be less than 250 square feet in size; 5. Private balconies and decks shall have a minimum area of 60 square feet, and no horizontal dimension shall be less than 6 feet. 6. Rooftop areas excluded because they are near minor communication utilities and accessory communication devices, pursuant to Section 23.57.012.C.1.d, do not qualify as amenity areas.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.47A.030 - Required Parking and loading</td>
<td>Off-street parking requirement per 23.54.015. Per Table A and B for required parking For nonresidential uses, except hospitals, in urban centers or the Station Area Overlay District (3) – No requirement. For residential uses within urban centers or within the Station Area Overlay District(1) – No requirement.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.54.015.K - Bicycle Parking</td>
<td>Per Table E (A.6 &amp; D.2), for lots within urban centers - Short term Commercial Parking - 1 per 2000 SF; Long-term parking - 1 per 4 dwelling units.</td>
<td>Compliant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.47A.032.A - Parking location and access</td>
<td>Access to parking shall be from the alley if the lot abuts an alley.</td>
<td>Compliant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.47A.032.A.1.a</td>
<td>For residential uses, when more than five parking spaces are provided, a minimum of 60 percent of the parking spaces shall be striped for medium vehicles. The minimum size for a medium parking space shall also be the maximum size. Forty percent of the parking spaces may be striped for any size, provided that when parking spaces are striped for large vehicles, the minimum required aisle width shall be as shown for medium vehicles.</td>
<td>Compliant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.54.030.B.1.b - Parking space requirements</td>
<td>For residential uses, when more than five parking spaces are provided, a minimum of 60 percent of the parking spaces shall be striped for medium vehicles. The minimum size for a medium parking space shall also be the maximum size. Forty percent of the parking spaces may be striped for any size, provided that when parking spaces are striped for large vehicles, the minimum required aisle width shall be as shown for medium vehicles.</td>
<td>Compliant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.54.030.B.1.b - Parking space requirements</td>
<td>For residential uses, when more than five parking spaces are provided, a minimum of 60 percent of the parking spaces shall be striped for medium vehicles. The minimum size for a medium parking space shall also be the maximum size. Forty percent of the parking spaces may be striped for any size, provided that when parking spaces are striped for large vehicles, the minimum required aisle width shall be as shown for medium vehicles.</td>
<td>Compliant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.47A.030.D.1.a - Driveway Width</td>
<td>Driveways less than 100 feet in length that serve 30 or fewer parking spaces shall be a minimum of 10 feet in width for one-way or two-way traffic.</td>
<td>Compliant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.47A.030.G - Sight triangle</td>
<td>For exit-only driveways and easements, and two way driveways and easements less than 22 feet wide, a sight triangle on both sides of the driveway or easement shall be provided, and shall be kept clear of any obstruction for a distance of 10 feet from the intersection of the driveway or easement with a driveway, easement, sidewalk or curb intersection if there is no sidewalk. The sight triangle shall also be kept clear of obstructions in the vertical spaces between 32 inches and 82 inches from the ground.</td>
<td>Compliant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.47A.022 - Light and glare standards</td>
<td>Interior lighting in parking garages must be shielded to minimize nighttime glare affecting nearby uses.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.47A.024.A - Amenity Area</td>
<td>Amenity areas are required in an amount equal to 5% of the total gross floor area in residential use, except as otherwise specifically provided in this Chapter 23.47A. Gross floor area, for the purposes of this subsection, excludes areas used for mechanical equipment and accessory parking.</td>
<td>Compliant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.47A.024.B</td>
<td>Required amenity areas shall meet the following standards, as applicable: 1. All residents shall have access to at least one common or private amenity area; 2. Amenity areas shall not be enclosed; 3. Parking areas, vehicular access easements, and driveways do not count as amenity areas, except that a woonerf may provide a maximum of 50 percent of the amenity area if the design of the woonerf is approved through a design review process pursuant to Chapter 23.41; 4. Common amenity areas shall have a minimum horizontal dimension of 10 feet, and no common amenity area shall be less than 250 square feet in size; 5. Private balconies and decks shall have a minimum area of 60 square feet, and no horizontal dimension shall be less than 6 feet. 6. Rooftop areas excluded because they are near minor communication utilities and accessory communication devices, pursuant to Section 23.57.012.C.1.d, do not qualify as amenity areas.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.47A.030.B.1.b - Parking space requirements</td>
<td>For residential uses, when more than five parking spaces are provided, a minimum of 60 percent of the parking spaces shall be striped for medium vehicles. The minimum size for a medium parking space shall also be the maximum size. Forty percent of the parking spaces may be striped for any size, provided that when parking spaces are striped for large vehicles, the minimum required aisle width shall be as shown for medium vehicles.</td>
<td>Compliant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.54.030.B.1.b - Parking space requirements</td>
<td>For residential uses, when more than five parking spaces are provided, a minimum of 60 percent of the parking spaces shall be striped for medium vehicles. The minimum size for a medium parking space shall also be the maximum size. Forty percent of the parking spaces may be striped for any size, provided that when parking spaces are striped for large vehicles, the minimum required aisle width shall be as shown for medium vehicles.</td>
<td>Compliant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.47A.030.D.1.a - Driveway Width</td>
<td>Driveways less than 100 feet in length that serve 30 or fewer parking spaces shall be a minimum of 10 feet in width for one-way or two-way traffic.</td>
<td>Compliant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.47A.030.G - Sight triangle</td>
<td>For exit-only driveways and easements, and two way driveways and easements less than 22 feet wide, a sight triangle on both sides of the driveway or easement shall be provided, and shall be kept clear of any obstruction for a distance of 10 feet from the intersection of the driveway or easement with a driveway, easement, sidewalk or curb intersection if there is no sidewalk. The sight triangle shall also be kept clear of obstructions in the vertical spaces between 32 inches and 82 inches from the ground.</td>
<td>Compliant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.54.040 - Solid waste &amp; recyclable materials storage and access</td>
<td>Per Table A for residential uses with 26 -50 dwelling units - 375 SF of shared storage space. Per Table A for non-residential uses between 0-5,000 SF - 82 SF</td>
<td>Compliant, SPU approval obtained</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.54.030.B</td>
<td>Mixed use development that contains both residential and nonresidential uses shall meet the storage space requirements shown in Table A for 23.54.040 for residential development, plus 50 percent of the requirement for nonresidential development. In mixed use developments, storage space for garbage may be shared between residential and nonresidential uses, but separate spaces for recycling shall be provided.</td>
<td>Compliant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.54.040.D.1</td>
<td>For developments with 9 dwelling units or more, the minimum horizontal dimension of required storage space is 12 feet.</td>
<td>Compliant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EDG OPTIONS SUMMARY

Unit Count:   45

FAR CALCULATION

Parking/lobby   5,454 SF

Typical floor   4,877 *5 = 24,385 SF
(5 stories)

Roof    661 SF

Total Residential FAR  30,500 SF (4.24)

Allowable Residential FAR  30,561.75 SF (4.25)

Commercial FAR   955 SF

Total Mixed Use FAR  31,455 SF (4.37)

Allowable Mixed Use FAR  34,157.25 SF (4.75)

Required Amenity Area provided at Courtyard & Roof level

PROS

Simple and clean massing.

South facing courtyard for better solar exposure.

Unit orientation increases privacy for both, units in the building and units in the adjacent north apartment building.

Sets back approx. 5 feet from the west property line above the ground floor.

Sets back (above the ground floor)  approx. 9' from the north property line for a span of approx. 33' along north facade.

These setbacks allow for more light access to the units in the adjacent north apartment building.

CONS

More units face the side lot line to the south vs. the alley or the street.

These units facing the side lot line will be impacted if future development occurs on the parcel to the south.

B

Unit Count:   44

FAR CALCULATION

Parking/lobby   5,577 SF

Typical floor   5,467 *2 = 10,934 SF

5,407 *2 = 10,814 SF
(4 stories)

Roof / 5th story   3,233 SF

Total Residential FAR  30,558 SF (4.25)

Allowable Residential FAR  30,561.75 SF (4.25)

Commercial FAR   955 SF

Total Mixed Use FAR  31,513 SF (4.38)

Allowable Mixed Use FAR  34,157.25 SF (4.75)

Required Amenity Area provided at Courtyard & Roof level

PROS

Interesting massing.

South facing courtyard for better solar exposure.

Maximizes views.

Eliminates additional roof level.

Unit orientation increases privacy for both, units in the building and units in the adjacent north apartment building.

Reduces building height towards alley.

Reduces impact of building height towards the street as the upper story is setback.

Reduces the impact of building height towards the adjacent north apartment building.

CONS

Linear long blank facade at north property line with minimal modulation. This reduces light for the units in the adjacent north apartment building.

C

Unit Count:   50

FAR CALCULATION

Parking/lobby   5,080 SF

Typical floor   4,948 *5 + 42 = 24,782 SF
(5 stories)

Roof    527 SF

Total Residential FAR  30,389 SF (4.23)

Allowable Residential FAR  30,561.75 SF (4.25)

Commercial FAR   1,458 SF

Total Mixed Use FAR  31,847 SF (4.43)

Allowable Mixed Use FAR  34,157.25 SF (4.75)

Required Amenity Area provided at Courtyard & Roof level

PROS

Maximizes unit count.

South facing courtyard for better solar exposure.

Unit orientation increases privacy for both, units in the building and units in the adjacent north apartment building.

Sets back approx. 17 feet from the west property line above the ground floor.

Sets back (above the ground floor)  approx. 9' from the north property line for a total span of approx. 12' along north facade.

These setbacks allow for more light access to the units in the adjacent north apartment building.

CONS

Dense unit configuration

Interior units have a courtyard enclosed on three (potentially four) sides.
EDG OPTIONS SUMMARY

Unit Count: 45

FAR CALCULATION
Parking/lobby 5,454 SF
Typical floor 4,877 *5 = 24,385 SF (5 stories)
Roof 661 SF
Total Residential FAR 30,500 SF (4.24)
Allowable Residential FAR 30,561.75 SF (4.25)
Commercial FAR 955 SF
Total Mixed Use FAR 31,455 SF (4.37)
Allowable Mixed Use FAR 34,157.25 SF (4.75)
Required Amenity Area provided at Courtyard & Roof level

PROS
Simple and clean massing.
South facing courtyard for better solar exposure.
Unit orientation increases privacy for both, units in the building and units in the adjacent north apartment building.
Sets back approx. 5 feet from the west property line above the ground floor.
Sets back (above the ground floor) approx. 9’ from the north property line for a span of approx. 33’ along north facade.
These setbacks allow for more light access to the units in the adjacent north apartment building.

CONS
More units face the side lot line to the south vs. the alley or the street.
These units facing the side lot line will be impacted if future development occurs on the parcel to the south.

Unit Count: 44

FAR CALCULATION
Parking/lobby 5,577 SF
Typical floor 5,467 *2 = 10,934 SF
Roof / 5th story 3,233 SF
Total Residential FAR 30,558 SF (4.25)
Allowable Residential FAR 30,561.75 SF (4.25)
Commercial FAR 955 SF
Total Mixed Use FAR 31,513 SF (4.38)
Allowable Mixed Use FAR 34,157.25 SF (4.75)
Required Amenity Area provided at Courtyard & Roof level

PROS
Interesting massing.
South facing courtyard for better solar exposure.
Maximizes views.
Eliminates additional roof level.
Unit orientation increases privacy for both, units in the building and units in the adjacent north apartment building.
Reduces building height towards alley
Reduces impact of building height towards the street as the upper story is setback.
Reduces the impact of building height towards the adjacent north apartment building.

CONS
Linear long blank facade at north property line with minimal modulation. This reduces light for the units in the adjacent north apartment building.

Unit Count: 50

FAR CALCULATION
Parking/lobby 5,080 SF
Typical floor 4,948 *5 + 42 = 24,782 SF (5 stories)
Roof 527 SF
Total Residential FAR 30,389 SF (4.23)
Allowable Residential FAR 30,561.75 SF (4.25)
Commercial FAR 1,458 SF
Total Mixed Use FAR 31,847 SF (4.43)
Allowable Mixed Use FAR 34,157.25 SF (4.75)
Required Amenity Area provided at Courtyard & Roof level

PROS
Maximizes unit count.
South facing courtyard for better solar exposure.
Unit orientation increases privacy for both, units in the building and units in the adjacent north apartment building.
Sets back approx. 17 feet from the west property line above the ground floor.
Sets back (above the ground floor) approx. 9’ from the north property line for a total span of approx. 12’ along north facade.
These setbacks allow for more light access to the units in the adjacent north apartment building.

CONS
Dense unit configuration
Interior units have a courtyard enclosed on three (potentially four) sides.
**EDG RECOMMENDATION**

**RESPONSE**

**MASSING**

The Board felt Massing Option A provided the better design solution with a setback along the north facade opposite the existing units. The Board also supported the unit orientation of Massing Option B, which provides units facing the alley.

a) The Board felt the applicant provided a comprehensive analysis of the massing options for a tight infill site. The Board supported the building layout with the lobby and stair circulation on the north and units oriented to the south. (CS2-D5)

b) Ultimately the Board supported a massing combination A and B. The combined massing should include a setback on the north facade consistent with massing option A and units facing the alley consistent with Massing Option B. The Board did support a taller building, consistent with Massing Option A, to accommodate the additional north setback (CS2-D5, DC2-A1).

c) The Board agreed there must be a thoughtful treatment of the facade facing the structure to the north. At the Recommendation Meeting, the Board would like to see how the north facade is treated to minimize large expanses of blank wall and maximize light and air opportunities for adjacent units (CS2-D5, DC2-B2).

**STREET WALL**

The Board applauded the ground level setback adjacent to the sidewalk. The setback will provide a street wall and setback consistent with the adjacent structure to the north.

a) The Board noted the setback should be treated to provide a gracious, welcoming approach to the retail and residential entrances (CS2-A2, CS2-B2, CS2-C2).

b) At the Recommendation Meeting, the Board requested more information about the experience at ground level including ground level transparency, overhead weather projection and lighting. The Board also felt the applicant should explore additional potted plants at the residential entry as expressed in the Uptown Design Guidelines. The Board felt the entries should be pedestrian scaled, friendly, gracious, and incorporate sufficient transparency and lighting to provide safe spaces (PL2-B, PL2-C, PL3-A).

c) The Board felt the first floor level transition to the upper level should align with the bay window datum to the north (CS2-C2).

d) The Board was concerned that the adjacent bay window will look into a large blank wall in the northeast corner. The Board felt the building should respond to this relationship and treat the corner with a meaningful gesture to provide relief (CS2-C2. CS2-D5).

**ARCHITECTURAL CONTEXT AND MATERIALS**

The Board noted the building is proposed within a neighborhood with a well-defined material character. The Board agreed the proposed building should be designed as background building rather than a signature piece.

a) The Board supported the proposed architectural concept which included a more contemporary design. The Board noted that the architectural concept should incorporate material cues from traditional neighborhood context, specifically brick at ground level (CS3-A1).

b) The proposed design includes a contemporary architectural style with clean and simple massing. The bay window projections on the upper floors and the treatment of the ground level street level elevation i.e. brick plasters in-filled with storefront glazing is consistent with the surrounding neighborhood and context. (CS3-A1 - Emphasizing Positive Neighborhood Attributes - Fitting Old and New Together)

c) The proposed building layout locates the stairs, elevator and lobby towards the north with the residential units facing the street (east), alley (west) and south thus respecting the privacy of residents in the proposed building as well as the adjacent apartment building to the north. (CS2-D5 - Respect for Adjacent Sites)

d) The proposal has been revised to provide a setback to the north consistent with massing option A and unit orientation facing the alley consistent with massing option B. (CS2-D5 - Respect for Adjacent Sites, DC2-A1 - Massing - Site characteristics and uses). The setback provides more light and ventilation for residential units in the apartment building to the north. Orientation of the units towards the alley guarantees light and ventilation for residents. The L-shaped massing maximizes light and ventilation for the proposed residential units facing the south side lot line.

c) The north facade has been divided into three segments instead of one linear, expansive long facade. (CS2-D5 - Respect for Adjacent Sites, DC2-B2 - Architectural Facade and Composition - Blank Walls) Each segment has been treated with variation in color and material joint patterns, thus creating visual interest and reducing the scale of the building. A setback and an exterior open stair has been included on the north side to maximize light and air for units in the adjacent apartment building. See additional comments on MUP response page (p.16).

c) The ground level of the proposed building sets back 5 feet from the property line consistent with the adjacent structure to the north.

a) The setback provides a wider, inviting sidewalk with landscaping. The setback continues a strong street edge aligning with the apartment building to the north and creates a distinct ground floor facade similar to other buildings in the immediate vicinity of the site. (CS2-A2 - Location in the City and Neighborhood - Architectural Presence, CS2-B2 - Adjacent Sites, Streets and Open Spaces - Connection to the Street, CS2-C2 - Relationship to the Block - Mid block Sites). See additional comments on MUP response page (p.16).

b) The ground level minimizes blank wall segments and maximizes light and transparency through the use of wide storefront glazing. The bay window projections of the upper floors provide ample overhead weather protection for the building entrances. Ground level exterior lighting has been incorporated on the ground level exterior walls and on the soffit of the bay window projections. Potted plants have been incorporated at the residential entry. The proportion/division of the street front glazing, signage and overhead bay window projections provide a more human scale at the sidewalk. All of the above encourage safety, security, visibility and provide a comfortable pedestrian experience. (PL2-B - Safety and Security, PL2-C - Weather Protection, PL3-A - Entries). See additional comments on MUP response page (p.16).

c) Two bay window projections have been included in the proposal to respond to the two bay window projections of the adjacent apartment building to the north. Moreover, the gray color of the brick blends in with the exterior color used at the base of the adjacent apartment building to the north. There is a distinct transition between the ground floor and the upper floor as seen in the adjacent building. However, it is not feasible to align the first floor transition to the upper level with the bay window datum to the north since the grade drops down towards the south on the street. Moreover, the adjacent apartment building has a basement that is partially above grade which makes its floor lines fall approximately midway (half a story) between the floor levels in the proposed building. This makes it unfeasible for the upper floor transition to match with the bay window datum to the north. (CS2-C2 - Relationship to the Block - Mid block Sites)

d) The bay windows are set back from the north edge of the building to create more room at the northeast corner for the bay windows in the apartment building to the north. (CS2-C2 - Relationship to the Block - Mid block Sites, CS2-D5 - Height, Bulk and Scale - Respect for Adjacent Sites). See additional comments on MUP response page (p.16).

**RESPONSE TO EDG COMMENTS**
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The Board noted that the architectural concept should incorporate material cues from traditional:

a) The Board supported the proposed architectural concept which included a more contemporary design.

b) Ultimately the Board supported a massing option combining A and B. The combined massing should include a setback on the north facade consistent with massing option A and units facing the alley consistent to the north.

c) The Board agreed there must be a thoughtful treatment of the facade facing the structure to the north.

The Board noted the building is proposed within a neighborhood with a well-defined material character. The guidelines. The Board felt the entries should be pedestrian scaled, friendly, gracious, and incorporate:

a) The setback provides a wider, inviting sidewalk with landscaping. The setback continues a strong street edge aligning with the apartment building to the north. Moreover, the gray color of the brick blends in with the exterior color used at the base of the adjacent apartment building to the north. The distinct transition between the ground floor and the upper floor as seen in the adjacent building.

b) The proposal has been revised to provide a setback to the north consistent with massing option A and unit orientation facing the alley.

c) The north facade has been divided into three segments instead of one linear, expansive long facade. The ground level setback adjacent to the sidewalk. The setback will provide a street wall to maximize light and air for units in the adjacent apartment building to the north. Moreover, the gray color of the brick blends in with the exterior color used at the base of the adjacent apartment building to the north. There is a distinct transition between the ground floor and the upper floor as seen in the adjacent building.

The Board felt the applicant provided a comprehensive analysis of the massing options for a tight infill. The Board applauded the ground level setback adjacent to the sidewalk. The setback will provide a street wall facilities. The Board supported the building layout with the lobby and stair circulation on the north and units on the south side lot line. The Board felt Massing Option A provided the better design solution with a setback along the north facade to the north (CS2-C2).

The Board felt the first floor level transition to the upper level should align with the bay window datum to the north since the grade drops. However, it is not feasible to align the first floor transition to the upper level with the bay window datum to the north since the grade drops. The Board felt the floor lines fall approximately midway (half a story) between the floor levels in the proposed building. This makes it unfeasible for the upper floors and the treatment of the ground level street level elevation i.e. brick pilasters in-filled with storefront glazing is consistent with its floor lines fall approximately midway (half a story) between the floor levels in the proposed building. This makes it unfeasible for the upper floors and the treatment of the ground level street level elevation i.e. brick pilasters in-filled with storefront glazing is consistent with

The Board felt the south facade should be oriented to provide adequate privacy from the alley and south thus respecting the privacy of residents in the proposed building as well as the adjacent apartment building to the north. The proportion/division of the street front glazing, signage and overhead bay window projections have been incorporated on the ground level exterior walls and on the soffit of the bay window projections. Potted plants have been incorporated at the residential entry. The proportion/division of the street front glazing, signage and overhead bay window projections has been incorporated on the ground level exterior walls and on the soffit of the bay window projections. Potted plants have been incorporated at the residential entry. The proportion/division of the street front glazing, signage and overhead bay window projections has been incorporated on the ground level exterior walls and on the soffit of the bay window projections. Potted plants have been incorporated at the residential entry.

SETBACK

UNITS FACE COURTYARD

UNITS FACE ALLEY

SETBACK

UNITS FACE ALLEY

The DRB massing responds to the Board’s recommendation by orienting the rear units to face the alley (similar to option A) while maintaining a larger setback on the north side (similar to option B).
**CORRECTIONS** | **RESPONSE**
---|---
**NORTH FACADE**

At EDG the Board agreed there must be a thoughtful treatment of the façade facing the structure to the north. At the Recommendation Meeting, the Board would like to see how the north façade is treated to create large expanses of blank wall and maximize light and air opportunities for adjacent units (CS2-D5, DC2-B2).

Please consider incorporating windows, or obscured glazing into the façade facing north setback to mitigate the large blank wall facing adjacent residential units.

Please refer to vignettes on pages 17, 26, 35, and 42 showing the treatment of the north facade.

Windows have been incorporated into the facade facing the north setback. The north façade has been divided into three segments instead of one linear, expansive long facade. (CS2-D5 - Respect for Adjacent Sites, DC2-B2 - Architectural Facade and Composition - Blank Walls)

Each segment has been treated with variation in color and material joint patterns, thus creating visual interest and reducing the scale of the building. A setback and an exterior open stair has been included on the north side to maximize light and air for units in the adjacent apartment building. Planters and green screens have also been included in the north courtyard to enhance views from the adjacent apartment building.

**GROUND FLOOR COMMERCIAL**

At EDG the Board noted the setback should be treated to provide a gracious, welcoming approach to the retail and residential entrances (CS2-A2, CS2-B2, CS2-C2). At the Recommendation Meeting, the Board requested more information about the experience at ground level including ground level transparency, overhead weather projection and lighting. The Board also felt the applicant should explore additional potted landscaping at the residential entry as expressed in the Uptown Design Guidelines. The Board felt the entries should be pedestrian scaled, friendly, gracious, and incorporate sufficient transparency and lighting to provide safe spaces (PL2-B, PL2-C, PL3-A)

Please provide a draft recommendation packet demonstrating through ground level elevations and vignettes the experience of the ground level commercial space.

The experience of the ground level space has been shown. Please refer to vignettes on pages 17, 26-29, 31, 40, and 42.

The setback at ground level provides a wider, inviting sidewalk with landscaping. This setback continues a strong street edge aligning with the ground level of the apartment building to the north and creates a distinct ground floor facade similar to other buildings in the immediate vicinity of the site. (CS2-A2 - Location in the City and Neighborhood - Architectural Presence, CS2-B2 - Adjacent Sites, Streets and Open Spaces - Connection to the Street, CS2-C2 - Relationship to the Block - Mid block Sites)

The ground level minimizes blank wall segments and maximizes light and transparency through the use of wide storefront glazing. The bay window projections of the upper floors provide ample overhead weather protection for the building entrances. Ground level exterior lighting has been incorporated on the ground level exterior walls and on the soffit of the bay window projections. Potted plants have been incorporated at the residential entry. Additionally, a change in the sidewalk scoring pattern at the residential entrance further accentuates the transition from public to private space. The proportion/division of the street front glazing, signage and overhead bay window projections provide a more human scale at the sidewalk. All of the above encourage safety, security, visibility and provide a comfortable pedestrian experience. (PL2-B - Safety and Security, PL2-C - Weather Protection, PL3-A - Entrances)

**BAY WINDOWS**

The Board felt the first floor level transition to the upper level should align with the bay window datum to the north (CS2-C2).

The Board was concerned that the adjacent bay window will look into a large blank wall in the northeast corner. The Board felt the building should respond to this relationship and treat the corner with a meaningful gesture to provide relief (CS2-C2, CS2-D5).

Please demonstrate in plan, elevation and section how the proposed building responds to guidance to respond architecturally to adjacent bay windows.

The relationship to the adjacent building’s bay windows has been shown. Please refer to vignettes on pages 17, 26-27, 40, and 42.

It is not feasible to align the first floor transition to the upper level with the bay window datum to the north since the grade drops down towards the south on the street. Moreover, the adjacent apartment building has a basement that is partially above grade which makes its floor lines fall approximately midway (half a story) between the floor levels in the proposed building.

The north bay window in the proposed building is set back 4 feet from the north edge of the building to create more room at the northeast corner for the bay windows in the apartment building to the north. Moreover, the south facing window in the bay window projection of the adjacent building has a diagonal orientation looking southeast rather than directly south toward the proposed building. (CS2-C2 - Relationship to the Block - Mid block Sites, CS2-D5 - Height, Bulk and Scale - Respect for Adjacent Sites)

**CEMENT PANELS / SOUTH FACING BLANK WALL**

Please clarify within the MUP plans and recommendation packet the details of the cement panel application. Will there be visible reveal and reglets or integrated rain screen? Currently there is a large blank wall that will be largely visible from 1st Avenue S traveling in the north bound direction. Please consider ways this large wall can be linked to the articulation on the other facades. Please consider further articulation such as double width reveals and/or offset panel depth to create small shadow lines. Small changes in panel depth will further the concept of street bays transitioned onto the side achieving a purposeful transition in color rather than in an in plane material change.

The detail of the cement panel application has been included. Please refer to details on page 17. Please also refer to vignettes on pages 17, 30, 33, 41, and 43.

At this point, variation in color and joint patterns has been provided on the south wall. It is not feasible to implement further articulation on the south wall with changes on panel depth and/or change in material without obtaining a temporary construction access agreement with the adjacent property owner to the south. Without this agreement, the only method to build this wall is to balloon frame and lift up into position, with siding and waterproofing already attached. Because of the weight and constructability of the assembly, single material use with variation in color and joint patterns is the only option to provide some interest on this façade. An attempt will be made to reach out to the adjacent property owner for a temporary construction access agreement so that further articulation may be provided.
Please provide a draft recommendation packet demonstrating through ground level elevations and vignettes safe spaces (PL2-B, PL2-C, PL3-A) landscaping at the residential entry as expressed in the Uptown Design Guidelines. The Board felt the entries further the concept of street bays transitioned onto the side achieving a purposeful transition in color rather width reveals and/or offset panel depth to create small shadow lines. Small changes in panel depth will the large blank wall facing adjacent residential units.

Please demonstrate in plan, elevation and section how the proposed building responds to guidance to retail and residential entrances (CS2-A2, CS2-B2, CS2-C2). At the Recommendation Meeting, the Board would like to see how the north façade is treated to gesture to provide relief (CS2-C2, CS2-D5).

The Board was concerned that the adjacent bay window will look into a large blank wall in the northeast corner. The Board felt the building should respond to this relationship and treat the corner with a meaningful corner for the bay windows in the apartment building to the north. Moreover, the south facing window in the bay window projection of the adjacent building has a diagonal orientation looking southeast rather than directly south toward the proposed building. Will there be visible reveal and reglets or integrated rain screen? Currently there is a large blank wall that will

Please clarify within the MUP plans and recommendation packet the details of the cement panel application. The detail of the cement panel application has been included. Please refer to details on page 17. Please also refer to vignettes on pages 17, 30, 33, 41, and 43.

The ground level minimizes blank wall segments and maximizes light and transparency through the use of wide storefront glazing. The bay Windows have been incorporated into the facade facing the north setback. The north facade has been divided into three segments instead of two with variation in color and material joint patterns, thus creating visual interest and reducing the scale of the Each segment has been treated with variation in color and joint patterns is the only option to provide some interest on this façade. An attempt will be made to reach out to the adjacent property owner for a temporary construction access agreement so that further articulation may be provided. It is not feasible to align the first floor transition to the upper level with the bay window datum to the north since the grade drops down towards the south on the street. Moreover, the adjacent apartment building has a basement that is partially above grade which makes its

The relationship to the adjacent building’s bay windows has been shown. Please refer to vignettes on pages 17, 26-27, 40, and 42. Projections provide a more human scale at the sidewalk. All of the above encourage safety, security, visibility and provide a comfortable

incorporated at the residential entry. Additionally, a change in the sidewalk scoring pattern at the residential entrance further accentuates

lighting has been incorporated on the ground level exterior walls and on the soffit of the bay window projections. Potted plants have been

The experience of the ground level space has been shown. Please refer to vignettes on pages 17, 26-29, 31, 40, and 42.
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The proposed design fosters human interaction and diversity of pedestrian activity by allowing for a wider sidewalk with landscaping and providing a continuous, urban street edge. All of the above encourage human interaction, safety, security and visibility thus providing a comfortable pedestrian experience.

The proposed design adopts a contemporary style that maintains continuity at the street level.

a) The proposed building layout has the stairs, elevator and residential lobbies, where appropriate, by keeping views to datum lines of adjacent buildings at the first three floors.
b) The bay windows are set back from the north edge of the building to create more room at the northeast corner for the bay windows in the apartment building to the north.
c) The usage of gray brick that blends in with the adjacent apartment building to the north.
d) The proposed scheme has an L-shaped massing and scale consistent with the massing of the mixed use building to the west across the alley.
e) The proposed design has an L-shaped massing and scale consistent with the massing of the mixed use building to the west across the alley.
f) The variation in material and color between the base and the upper floors creates a distinct ground floor facade.

c) The proposed building layout has the stairs, elevator and lobby towards the north with the residential units facing the street (east), alley (west) and south thus respecting the privacy of residents in the proposed building as well as the adjacent apartment building to the north.

d) The setback on the north facade and the color variation breaks up the linear expanse of the blank facade of the north wall and provides visual interest. It also increases the amount of light and ventilation for the south facing units in the apartment building to the north.

e) The exterior stair on the north is an attempt to increase the amount of light and ventilation for the south facing units in the apartment building to the north.

The proposed design fosters human interaction and diversity of pedestrian activity by allowing for a wider sidewalk with landscaping and providing a continuous, urban street edge. All of the above encourage human interaction, safety, security and visibility thus providing a comfortable pedestrian experience.
makes multiple attempts to help the new building fit into the existing architectural context.

a) The 5 foot ground level setback aligns with the corner of the adjacent building to the north emphasizing a continuous, urban street edge.

b) The usage of gray brick that blends in with the adjacent building to the north provides a well-defined ground floor that maintains continuity at the street level.

c) The treatment of the ground level street elevation i.e. brick pilasters capped with a soldier course and in-filled with storefront glazing corresponds with other brick buildings in the area.

d) The variation in material and color between the base and the upper floors creates a distinct ground floor facade similar to other buildings in the vicinity.

e) The dual bay window projections in the upper floors respond to dual bay windows in the adjacent building to the north.

f) The L-shaped massing, scale and choice of exterior materials are more in line with the newer mixed use apartment building across the alley to the west.

PUBLIC LIFE
PL2 Walkability: Create a safe and comfortable walking environment that is easy to navigate and well-connected to existing pedestrian walkways and features.
PL2-B Safety and Security
PL2-B-1. Eyes on the Street: Create a safe environment by providing lines of sight and encouraging natural surveillance.
PL2-B-2. Lighting for Safety: Provide lighting at sufficient lumen intensities and scales, including pathway illumination, pedestrian and entry lighting, and/or security lights.
PL2-8-3. Street-Level Transparency: Ensure transparency of street-level uses (for uses such as nonresidential uses or residential lobbies), where appropriate, by keeping views open into spaces behind walls or plantings, at corners, or along narrow passageways.

a) The proposed design fosters human interaction and activity by allowing for a wider sidewalk with landscaping and providing clearly visible, separate entrances to the residential and commercial uses in the building that are directly accessed from the sidewalk.

b) The ground level maximizes light, visibility and transparency through the use of storefront glazing for the commercial space and the residential lobby.

c) The bay window projections of the upper floors provide ample overhead weather protection for the residential and commercial entrances.

d) Ground level exterior lighting has been incorporated on the brick pilasters and on the soffit of the bay window projections to illuminate the sidewalk and building entries.

e) The proportion/division of the street front glazing, building signage, exterior lighting, street trees, potted plants, overhead bay window projections as well as material variation between ground level and upper floors reduce the perceived mass of the building at ground level to provide a more human scale at the sidewalk.

All of the above encourage human interaction, safety, security, visibility and provide a comfortable pedestrian experience. A wider sidewalk also allows for increased pedestrian volume anticipated in areas around the Seattle Center.

PL2-C Weather Protection
PL2-C-1. Locations and Coverage: Overhead weather protection is encouraged and should be located at or near uses that generate pedestrian activity such as entries, retail uses, and transit stops.

The bay window projections of the upper floors provide ample overhead weather protection for the residential and commercial building entrances.

Uptown Supplemental Guidance:
PL2-II Pedestrian Open Spaces and Entrances
PL2-II-1. Pedestrian-Friendly Entrances: Throughout Uptown entries should be designed to be pedestrian friendly (via position, scale, architectural detailing, and materials) and should be clearly discernible to the pedestrian.

a) The proposed design fosters human interaction and activity by allowing for a wider sidewalk with landscaping and providing clearly visible, separate entrances to the residential and commercial uses in the building that are directly accessed from the sidewalk.

b) The residential entry is clearly identified using well-integrated signage.

c) The ground level maximizes light, visibility and transparency through the use of storefront glazing for the commercial space and the residential lobby.

d) The bay window projections of the upper floors provide ample overhead weather protection for the residential and commercial entrances.

e) Ground level exterior lighting has been incorporated on the brick pilasters and on the soffit of the bay window projections to illuminate the sidewalk and building entries.

f) Potted plants have been incorporated at the residential entry. Street trees and planting strips have been provided on the sidewalk.

g) The proportion/division of the street front glazing, building signage, exterior lighting, street trees, potted plants, overhead bay window projections as well as material variation between ground level and upper floors reduce the perceived mass of the building at ground level to provide a more human scale at the sidewalk.

h) The treatment of the ground level street elevation i.e. brick pilasters capped with a soldier course and in-filled with storefront glazing creates a strong rhythm of solid and transparent facade for pedestrians.

All of the above encourage human interaction, safety, security and visibility thus providing a comfortable pedestrian experience.
b) The residential entry is clearly identified using well-integrated signage.

c) The ground level maximizes light, visibility and transparency through the use of storefront glazing for the commercial space and the residential lobby. However, the privacy of residents is maintained since the residential units are located on the upper floors.

d) The bay window projections of the upper floors provide ample overhead weather protection for the residential and commercial entrances.

e) Ground level exterior lighting has been incorporated on the brick plasters and on the soffit of the bay window projections to illuminate the sidewalk and building entries.

f) Potted plants have been incorporated at the residential entry. Street trees and planting strips have been provided on the sidewalk.

g) The proportion/division of the street front glazing, building signage, exterior lighting, street trees, potted plants, overhead bay window projections as well as material variation between ground level and upper floors reduce the perceived mass of the building at ground level thus providing a more human scale at the sidewalk.

h) The treatment of the ground level street elevation i.e. brick plasters capped with a soldier course and in-filled with storefront glazing creates a strong rhythm of solid and transparent facade for pedestrians.

All of the above encourage human interaction and provide clearly identifiable entries without encroaching upon the privacy of the residents in the building.

**DESIGN CONCEPT**

**DC1 Project Uses and Activities: Optimize the arrangement of uses and activities on site.**

**DC1-C-4. Service Uses: Where service facilities about pedestrian areas or the perimeter of the property, maintain an attractive edge through screening, plantings, or other design treatments.**

Perforated metal screening is proposed at the potential location of gas meters near the residential entry.

**Uptown Supplemental Guidance: DC1-VI. Treatment of Alleys**

**DC1-VI-i. Clean Alleys: Throughout Uptown ensure alleys are designed to be clean, maintained spaces. Recessed areas for recyclables and disposables should be provided.**

The design allocates a separate area within the building for trash storage, utilities and service areas, all of which will be accessed from the alley. All ingress/egress points from the alley are well-lit. Sight triangles are provided to encourage clear lines of sight, personal safety and security.

**DC2 Architectural Concept: Develop an architectural concept that result in a unified and functional design that fits well on the site and within its surroundings.**

**DC2-A. Massing**

DC2-A-1. Site Characteristics and Uses: Arrange the mass of the building taking into consideration the characteristics of the site and the proposed uses of the building and its open space.

a) The proposed building massing and layout locates the stairs, elevator and lobby towards the north with the residential units facing the street (east), alley (west) and south thus respecting the privacy of residents in the proposed building as well as the adjacent apartment building to the north.

b) The setback on the north increases the amount of light and ventilation for the units in the adjacent apartment building to the north.

c) Orientation of the units toward the street and alley guarantees light and ventilation for the residents. The L-shaped massing with open space to the south maximizes light and ventilation for the proposed residential units facing the south side lot line.

d) The south courtyard and building setback on the upper floors reduces the scale of the building to respond better to the surface parking lot to the south of the site.

e) The ground level setback in combination with the overhead bay window projections provide safe, covered entries for the building.

f) The bay window projections on the south facade provide cover for the courtyard access for the south-facing units on the first residential floor.

**Uptown Supplemental Guidance: DC2-I. Architectural Context**

**DC2-I-iii. Uptown Urban Character Area: Embrace high quality urban infill, and responds to special relationships with nearby civic institutions. The following features are encouraged:**

a) Consistent street wall;

b) Engaging the sidewalk / storefront transparency;

e) High quality, durable materials;

a) The ground level minimizes blank wall segments and maximizes light and transparency through the use of wide storefront glazing.

b) The extent of the south facing blank wall has been broken down using fiber cement panel joint patterns and color variation.

c) The north facade has been divided into three segments instead of one linear, expansive long facade. Each segment has been treated with variation in color and material joint patterns, thus creating visual interest and reducing the scale of the building.

**APPLICATION OF SEATTLE DESIGN GUIDELINES**
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c) The residential entry is clearly identified using well-integrated signage that creates a distinction between the commercial and residential uses at ground level

d) The ground level maximizes light, visibility and transparency through the use of storefront glazing for the commercial space and the residential lobby.

e) The bay window projections of the upper floors provide ample overhead weather protection for the residential and commercial entrances.

f) Ground level exterior lighting has been incorporated on the ground level exterior walls and on the soffit of the bay window projections.

g) Potted plants have been incorporated at the residential entry. Street trees and planting strips have been provided on the sidewalk.

h) The proportion/division of the street front glazing, building signage, exterior lighting, street trees, potted plants, overhead bay window projections as well as material variation between ground level and upper floors reduce the perceived mass of the building at ground level thus providing a more human scale at the sidewalk.

i) The gray brick color at the ground level contrasts the white and orange color of the fiber cement siding at the upper floors thus clearly identifying the commercial and residential uses. The horizontal brick soldier course band and the bay window projections also help define this transition between the ground level and the upper floors.

j) Brick, fiber cement siding, and metal panels are all durable exterior materials that will maintain the quality and aesthetic appearance of the building over time.

DC2-III Human Scale

DC2-III-i. Proportioned Design: Throughout Uptown human-scaled architecture is strongly preferred. Proportion should be provided by such components as the detail of windows, doorways, and entries. Appropriate scale and proportion may also be influenced by the selection of building materials.

a) The proportion/division of the street front glazing, building signage, exterior lighting, street trees, potted plants, overhead bay window projections as well as material variation between ground level and upper floors reduce the perceived mass of the building at ground level thus providing a more human scale at the sidewalk.

b) The treatment of the ground level street elevation i.e. brick pilasters capped with a soldier course and in-filled with storefront glazing breaks up the expance of the street facade and creates a strong rhythm of solid and transparent facade for pedestrians.

DC2-III-iii. Weather Protection: The use of exterior canopies or other weather protection features is favored throughout the district for residential and commercial uses. Canopies should blend well with the building and surroundings, and present an inviting, less massive appearance.

DC2-III-iv. Integrated Exterior Features: Throughout Uptown size signs, exterior light fixtures, canopies and awnings to the scale of the building and the pedestrian. Signs that add creativity and individual expression to the design of storefronts are encouraged. Signs should be integrated into the overall design of the building. Signs that appear cluttered and detract from the quality of the building’s design are discouraged.

a) The bay window projections of the upper floors provide ample overhead weather protection for the building entrances. Additional canopies below the projections would be redundant and would reduce headroom due to the grade change at the sidewalk.

b) The building signage is well integrated into the overall design as it visually connects the bay window projections with the recessed ground floor facade. It clearly identifies the main residential entry and creates a distinction between the commercial and residential uses at ground level.
MATERIALS

1. CONCRETE SACK FINISH
2. STOREFRONT BLACK
3. BRICK DARK GRAY
4. CORRUGATED METAL GRAY
5. FIBERCEMENT PANEL SW 6883 - RAUCOUS ORANGE
6. FIBERCEMENT PANEL SW 7018 - DOVETAIL
7. FIBERCEMENT PANEL SW 7010 - WHITE DUCK
8. VINYL WINDOW WHITE
9. JULIETTE RAILING BLACK
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MATERIALS

FIBERCEMENT PANEL
- SW 6883 - RAUCOUS ORANGE
- SW 7010 - WHITE DUCK
- SW 7018 - DOVETAIL

CORRUGATED METAL - GRAY

BRICK - DARK GRAY

STOREFRONT - BLACK

CONCRETE - SACK FINISH

VINYL WINDOW - WHITE

PLANTER - 22

SOFFIT LIGHTING

EXTERIOR LIGHTING

SIGNAGE

VENTILATION LOUVERS

ENTRY PATTERN

PLANTERS

UTILITY SCREENING
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JULIETTE RAILING - BLACK

WASHINGTON CALIFORNIA
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WEST (ALLEY) ELEVATION
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ROOFTOP AMENITY
As per the design review board's recommendation, the proposal has been revised to limit the residential use on the street facade by eliminating the leasing office and indicating ground level commercial use that could be temporarily used for leasing. However, the residential use still occupies 26% of the facade in order to accommodate an entry vestibule and a lobby with space for mail boxes. Moreover, due to the site conditions, additional width (26%) is required to accommodate stairs and elevators with adjacent ramped access. The departure allows for:

**RATIONALE FOR DEPARTURE:**

- Allow residential use to occupy approximately 26% (15.33') of the street facade;

15.33' / 59' = 26%

**SMC 23.47A.005 STREET LEVEL USES**

23.47A.005.C Residential uses at street level 1. In all neighborhood commercial and C1 zones, residential uses may occupy, in the aggregate, no more than 20 percent of the street-level street-facing facade in the following circumstances or locations:

- A. In a pedestrian-designated zone, facing a designated principal pedestrian street.

**DEPARTURE:**
As per the design review board’s recommendation, the proposal has been revised to limit the residential use on the street facade by eliminating the leasing office and indicating ground level commercial use that could be temporarily used for leasing. However, the residential use still occupies 26% of the facade in order to accommodate an entry vestibule and a lobby with space for mail boxes. Moreover, due to the site conditions, additional width (26%) is required to accommodate stairs and elevators with adjacent ramped access. The departure allows for:

- a better connection to the street (CS2-B2)
- an increase in safety, security and natural surveillance through the inclusion of the lobby (PL2-B-1)
- a more pedestrian friendly residential entrance (PL2-II-i)
- encourages human interaction at the street level (PL3)